arXiv:1105.0258v1 [math.AG] 2 May 2011

SYMBOLIC POWERS OF IDEALS OF GENERIC POINTS IN $\mathbb{P}^3$

MARCIN DUMNICKI

Institute of Mathematics, Jagiellonian University,
ul. Łojasiewicza 6, 30-348 Kraków, Poland
Email address: Marcin.Dumnicki@im.uj.edu.pl

Abstract. B. Harbourne and C. Huneke conjectured that for any ideal I of fat points in $\mathbb{P}^N$ its r-th symbolic power $I^{(r)}$ should be contained in $M^{(N-1)r}I^r$, where M denotes the homogeneous maximal ideal in the ring of coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^N$. We show that this conjecture holds for the ideal of any number of simple (not fat) points in general position in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and for at most $N+1$ simple points in general position in $\mathbb{P}^N$. As a corollary we give a positive answer to Chudnovsky Conjecture in the case of generic points in $\mathbb{P}^3$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be a field of chracteristic zero, let $\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N] = \mathbb{K}[x_0, \dots, x_N]$ denote the ring of coordinates of the projective space with standard grading. Let $I \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N]$ be a homogeneous ideal. By m-th symbolic power we define

$$I^{(m)} = \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N] \cap \Big(\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Ass}(I)} I^m \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N]_{\mathfrak{p}} \Big),$$

where the intersection is taken in the ring of fractions of $\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N]$. By a *fat points ideal* we denote the ideal

$$I = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{m}_{p_j}^{m_j},$$

where $\mathfrak{m}_p$ denotes the ideal of forms vanishing at a point $p \in \mathbb{P}^N$, and $m_j \geq 1$ are integers. Observe that for a fat points ideal I as above

$$I^{(m)} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{m}_{p_j}^{m_j m}.$$

Let $M = (x_0, \dots, x_N) \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N]$ be the maximal homogeneous ideal. In [Har–Hun 11] Harbourne and Huneke posed the following Conjecture:

Conjecture 1 (Harbourne–Huneke). *Let I be any fat points ideal in $\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N]$. Then $I^{(rN)} \subset M^{r(N-1)}I^r$ holds for all $r \geq 1$.*

More about conjectures dealing with containment problems, i.e. showing that $I^{(j)} \subset M^k I^\ell$ for various j, k, ℓ can be found in [Har–Hun 11], [Boc–Har 10a] and [Boc–Har 10b].

A sequence $\overline{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$ of n integers will be called a *sequence of multiplicities*. Define the *ideal of generic fat points* in $\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N]$ to be

$$I(\overline{m}) = I(m_1, \dots, m_n) = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} \mathfrak{m}_{p_j}^{m_j}$$

for points $p_1, \dots, p_n$ in general position in $\mathbb{P}^N$ (for $m_j < 0$ we take $\mathfrak{m}_{p_j}^{m_j} = \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N]$). We will use the following notation:

$$m^{\times s} = \underbrace{(m, \dots, m)}_{s}.$$

In [Har–Hun 11, Proposition 3.10] Conjecture 1 has been verified for ideals of generic points in $\mathbb{P}^2$:

Theorem 2 (Harbourne–Huneke). *Let $I = I(1^{\times n}) \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^2]$. Then $I^{(2r)} \subset M^r I^r$.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H50; 13P10.

Keywords: symbolic powers, fat points.

In the paper we show that Conjecture 1 holds for any number of generic points in $\mathbb{P}^3$ and for at most $N+1$ generic points in $\mathbb{P}^N$ for all $N \geq 2$:

Theorem 3. *Let* $I = I(1^{\times n}) \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^3]$. *Then* $I^{(3r)} \subset M^{2r} I^r$.

Theorem 4. *Let* $I = I(1^{\times n}) \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N]$. *If* $n \leq N+1$ *then* $I^{(Nr)} \subset M^{(N-1)r} I^r$.

Theorem 3 follows from Propositions 13, 14, 15 and 18, while Theorem 4 follows from Proposition 15 and 18.

For a homogeneous ideal $I \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N]$ define

$$I_t = \{f \in I : \deg(f) = t\},$$

and

$$\alpha(I) = \min\{t \geq 0 : I_t \neq \varnothing\}.$$

In [Chud 81] Chudnovsky posed the following conjecture:

Conjecture 5 (Chudnovsky)**.** *Let* I *be the ideal of a finite set of points in* $\mathbb{P}^N$. *Then*

$$\alpha(I^{(m)}) \geq m \frac{\alpha(I) + N - 1}{N}.$$

More about this conjecture can be found e.g. in [Har–Hun 11]. It is shown there that this Conjecture holds for $\mathbb{P}^2$ and that it holds for an ideal $I \subset \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N]$ whenever $I^{(Nr)} \subset M^{(N-1)r} I^r$ (cf. Lemma 3.2 in [Har–Hun 11]). Therefore, as a corollary of Theorem 3 we get

Proposition 6. *Chudnovsky Conjecture holds for any number of generic points in* $\mathbb{P}^3$.

We also recall the following numerical quantity, which we will need later:

Proposition 7. *Let* $I \subset K[\mathbb{P}^N]$ *be a non-zero homogeneous ideal. Define the* Waldschmidt constant

$$\gamma(I) = \lim_{m \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(I^{(m)})}{m}.$$

Then the following holds:

1. $\gamma(I)$ *exists and satisfies* $\alpha(I^{(m)}) \geq m\gamma(I)$ *for all* $m \geq 1$,
2. *if* $I \subset J$ *are ideals then* $\gamma(I) \geq \gamma(J)$,
3. *If* I *is an ideal of fat points then* $\gamma(I^{(r)}) = r\gamma(I)$.

Proof. The proof of part 1) is given in [Boc–Har 10a] (Lemma 2.3.1 and its proof). For 2) observe that if $I \subset J$ then $I_t^{(m)} \subset J_t^{(m)}$ hence $\alpha(I^{(m)}) \geq \alpha(J^{(m)})$. To prove 3) consider the subsequence rm, $m \longrightarrow \infty$:

$$\gamma(I) = \lim_{m \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha(I^{(rm)})}{rm} = \frac{1}{r} \lim_{m \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha((I^{(r)})^{(m)})}{m} = \frac{1}{r}\gamma(I^{(r)}).$$

□

2. RESULTS FOR $\mathbb{P}^3$

In this section we assume $N = 3$.

Proposition 8. *Let* $\overline{m}$ *be a sequence of multiplicities. If*

$$I(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, \overline{m})_d \neq \varnothing$$

then

$$I(m_1 + k, m_2 + k, m_3 + k, m_4 + k, \overline{m})_{d+k} \neq \varnothing$$

for $k = 2d - m_1 - m_2 - m_3 - m_4$.

Proof. If $m_j + k \geq 0$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ then it follows by applying Cremona transformation [Dum 09, Theorem 3]. If $d < m_j$ for some j then the claim holds trivially. Now consider the case (we can permute points, if necessary) $k + m_4 < 0$. It follows that

$$\ell = 2d - m_1 - m_2 - m_3 < 0$$

and each element in $I(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, \overline{m})$ has a factor f^ℓ, where f denotes the equation of the hyperplane passing through points associated with m_1, m_2, m_3 (cf. [Dum 09, Theorem 4]). It follows that there exists an element of degree $d + \ell$ in

$$I(m_1 + \ell, m_2 + \ell, m_3 + \ell, m_4, \overline{m}).$$

If $d+k = 3d - m_1 - m_2 - m_3 - m_4$ is negative then $d+\ell < m_4$ and again the claim holds trivially. Take $d' = d+\ell$, $m_j' = m_j + \ell$ for $j = 1,2,3$, $m_4' = m_4$. By easy computations we check that for

$$k' = 2d' - m_1' - m_2' - m_3' - m_4'$$

we have $d'+k' = d+k$, $m_j + k = m_j' + k'$ for $j = 1,2,3$, $m_4' + k' = 0$, $m_4 + k < 0$. If $k' + m_j' \geq 0$ for $j = 1,2,3$ then we are done by Cremona transformation. If not, we reorder points and make this trick again, until Cremona is possible.

Alternatively, we can blow up $\pi : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$ at $p_1, \dots, p_n$ and observe that standard birational transformation of $\mathbb{P}^3$ induces an action on $\operatorname{Pic}(X)$ such that $\pi^*(H) \longmapsto 3\pi^* H + 2(E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4)$, $E_j \longmapsto H + (E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4) - E_j$ for $j = 1,2,3,4$ and $E_j \longmapsto E_j$ for $j > 4$. ☐

Theorem 9. *Let $\overline{m}$ be a sequence of multiplicities. Then $\gamma(I(1^{\times 8}, \overline{m})) \geq \gamma(I(2, \overline{m}))$.*

Proof. We begin with showing that $\alpha(I(m^{\times 8})) \geq 2m$. Indeed, assume that there exists an element of degree $2m-1$ in $I(m^{\times 8})$. We will show that for each $s \geq 0$ there exists an element of degree $2m-(8s^2+1)$ in

$$I((m-(4s^2-2s))^{\times 4}, (m-(4s^2+2s))^{\times 4}),$$

which leads to a contradiction for s big enough.

For $s = 0$ the claim holds, now we argue by induction. Take

$$k = 2(2m-(8s^2+1)) - 4(m-(4s^2-2s)) = -8s-2,$$

by Proposition 8 there exists an element of degree $2m-(8s^2+1)+k = 2m-8s^2-8s-3$ in

$$I(m'^{\times 4}, (m-(4s^2+2s))^{\times 4})$$

for

$$m' = m-(4s^2-2s)+k = m-4s^2-6s-2 = m-(4(s+1)^2-2(s+1)).$$

Again, take

$$k = 2(2m-8s^2-8s-3) - 4(m-(4s^2+2s)) = -8s-6,$$

and use Proposition 8. The degree of the obtained element is equal to

$$2m-8s^2-8s-3+k = 2m-8s^2-16s-8-1 = 2m-(8(s+1)^2+1)$$

and it belongs to $I(m'^{\times 4}, m''^{\times 4})$ for

$$m'' = m-4s^2-2s-8s-6 = m-(4(s+1)^2+2(s+1)),$$

which completes the induction.

Let $J = I(2, \overline{m})$, let $I = I(1^{\times 8}, \overline{m})$, take $m \geq 1$ and assume that $(J^{(m)})_t = \varnothing$. Since $(I(m^{\times 8}))_{2m-1} = \varnothing$, we can "glue" points $m^{\times 8} \longrightarrow 2m$ as in [Dum 09, Theorem 9] to show that $(I^{(m)})_t = \varnothing$. Hence

$$\alpha(I^{(m)}) \geq \alpha(J^{(m)})$$

and, by Proposition 7,

$$\alpha(J^{(m)}) \geq \gamma(J)m.$$

Dividing by m and passing to the limit completes the proof. ☐

Proposition 10. *Let $s, r \geq 1$, let $n \geq r8^s$. Then*

$$\gamma(I(1^{\times n})) \geq 2^s \gamma(I(1^{\times r})).$$

Proof. Since $I(1^{\times n}) \subset I(1^{\times r8^s})$ we have $\gamma(I(1^{\times n})) \geq \gamma(I(1^{\times r8^s}))$. Applaying Theorem 9 $r8^{s-1}$ times we get

$$\gamma(I(1^{\times r8^s})) \geq \gamma(I(2^{\times r8^{s-1}})).$$

Observe that

$$I(2^{\times r8^{s-1}}) = (I(1^{\times r8^{s-1}}))^{(2)},$$

and hence by Proposition 7

$$\gamma(I(2^{\times r8^{s-1}})) = 2\gamma(I(1^{\times r8^{s-1}})).$$

Repeat the above $s-1$ more times to complete the proof. ☐

Proposition 11. *The following inequalities hold:*

1. $\gamma(I(1)) \geq 1$,
2. $\gamma(I(1^{\times 4})) \geq \frac{4}{3}$,
3. $\gamma(I(1^{\times n})) \geq \frac{5}{3}$ *for* $n = 5,6,7$,

(4) $\gamma(I(1^{\times 24})) \geq \frac{23}{9}$,
(5) $\gamma(I(2,2,2,1,1)) \geq \frac{8}{3}$,
(6) $\gamma(I(2,2,1,1,1)) \geq \frac{7}{3}$.

Proof. (1) This is obvious.

(2) Let $I = I(1^{\times 4})$. Take $m \geq 1$ and assume that $(I^{(3m)})_{4m-1} \neq \varnothing$. By Proposition 8 there exists an element of degree -3 in $I((-m-2)^{\times 4}) = I(0,0,0,0) = \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^N]$, a contradiction. Hence $\alpha(I^{(3m)}) \geq 4m$. Taking the limit we obtain $\gamma(I) \geq \frac{4}{3}$.

(3) By Proposition 7 we have $\gamma(I(1^{\times n})) \geq \gamma(I(1^{\times 5}))$. Assuming $I((3m)^{\times 5})_{5m-1} \neq \varnothing$ gives (by Proposition 8) an element of degree $3m-3$ in $I(3m)$, a contradiction. Hence $\alpha(I(1^{\times 5})^{(3m)}) \geq 5m$ and the claim follows.

(4) By Theorem 9
$$\gamma(I(1^{\times 24})) \geq \gamma(I(2,2,1^{\times 8})).$$
Assume that there exists an element of degree $23m-1$ in $I(18m, 18m, (9m)^{\times 8})$. By Proposition 8 there exists an element of degree $15m-3$ in $I((9m)^{\times 6}, 11m-2, 11m-2, m-2, m-2)$. Again, by Proposition 8 there exists an element of degree $9m-9$ in $I(9m, \dots)$, a contradiction. Hence $\alpha(I(2,2,1^{\times 8})^{(9m)}) \geq 23m$ and the claim follows.

(5) If
$$I(6m, 6m, 6m, 3m, 3m)_{8m-1} \neq \varnothing$$
then, by Proposition 8,
$$I(3m, m-2, m-2, m-2, -2m-2)_{3m-3} \neq \varnothing,$$
a contradiction. As above, the claim follows.

(6) If
$$I(6m, 6m, 3m, 3m, 3m)_{7m-1} \neq \varnothing$$
then, by Proposition 8,
$$I(3m, 2m-2, 2m-2, -m-2, -m-2)_{3m-3} \neq \varnothing,$$
a contradiction. As above, the claim follows.

□

Proposition 12. *Let $n \geq 1$, let $I = I(1^{\times n})$, let*
$$\binom{s}{3} < n \leq \binom{s+1}{3}.$$
If $\gamma(I) \geq \frac{s+1}{3}$ then $I^{(3r)} \subset M^{2r} I^r$ for all $r \geq 1$.

Proof. Let $0 \leq k \leq n$, let $t \geq 1$, observe that $I(1^{\times k})_t$ is a finite dimensional projective vector space over $\mathbb{K}$. If $f \in I(1^{\times k})_t$ then taking an additional point $p \in \mathbb{P}^3$ such that $f(p) \neq 0$ we get
$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} I(1^{\times (k+1)})_t = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} I(1^{\times k})_t - 1.$$
Let $t \geq s-2$. Then
$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} I_t = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} I(1^{\times n})_t = \binom{t+3}{3} - n$$
and
$$\dim_{\mathbb{K}} (\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^3]/I)_t = n = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} (\mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^3]/I)_{s-2}.$$
From the above equations we can see that the Hilbert function of I is equal to the Hilbert polynomial of I for degrees at least $s-2$, hence the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of I is at most $s-1$ and I is generated in degrees at most $s-1$.

From Proposition 7 and our assumption
$$\frac{\alpha(I^{(3r)})}{3r} \geq \gamma(I) \geq \frac{s+1}{3},$$
thus
$$\alpha(I^{(3r)}) \geq r(s+1) = r(s-1) + r(3-1).$$
By [Har–Hun 11, Proposition 2.3] $I^{(3r)} \subset M^{2r} I^r$. □

Theorem 13. *For $n \geq 512$ and $I = I(1^{\times n})$ we have $I^{(3r)} \subset M^{2r} I^r$.*

Proof. Take $s \geq 1$ such that

$$\binom{s}{3} < n \leq \binom{s+1}{3},$$

let

$$\widetilde{s} = \sqrt[3]{6n} + 2.$$

Since

$$s(s-1)(s-2) \geq (s-2)^3$$

we have

$$\sqrt[3]{6n} \geq \sqrt[3]{6\binom{s}{3}} \geq s - 2,$$

hence

$$\widetilde{s} \geq s.$$

Consider three cases:

- $8^k \leq n \leq 3 \cdot 8^k$ for some $k \geq 0$. By Propositions 7, 10 and 11 we have
$$\gamma(I(1^{\times n})) \geq \gamma(I(1^{\times 8^k})) \geq 2^k \gamma(I(1)) \geq 2^k.$$
By easy computations we check that $k \geq 3$ and
$$1 \geq \frac{\sqrt[3]{18}}{3} + \frac{1}{2^k},$$
hence
$$2^k \geq 2^k \frac{\sqrt[3]{18}}{3} + 1 = \frac{\sqrt[3]{6 \cdot 3 \cdot 8^k} + 3}{3} \geq \frac{\sqrt[3]{6n} + 3}{3} = \frac{\widetilde{s} + 1}{3} \geq \frac{s+1}{3},$$
which, by Proposition 12, completes the proof in this case.
- $3 \cdot 8^k \leq n \leq 6 \cdot 8^k$ for some $k \geq 0$. By Propositions 7, 10, 9 and 11 we have
$$\gamma(I(1^{\times n})) \geq \gamma(I(1^{\times (3 \cdot 8^k)})) \geq 2^{k-1} \gamma(I(1^{\times 24})) \geq 2^k \frac{23}{18}.$$
By easy computations we check that
$$\frac{23}{18} \geq \frac{\sqrt[3]{36}}{3} + \frac{1}{2^k},$$
hence
$$2^k \frac{23}{18} \geq 2^k \frac{\sqrt[3]{36}}{3} + 1 = \frac{\sqrt[3]{6 \cdot 6 \cdot 8^k} + 3}{3} \geq \frac{\sqrt[3]{6n} + 3}{3} = \frac{\widetilde{s} + 1}{3} \geq \frac{s+1}{3},$$
which, by Proposition 12, completes the proof in this case.
- $6 \cdot 8^k \leq n \leq 8 \cdot 8^k$ for some $k \geq 0$. By Propositions 7, 10 and 11 we have
$$\gamma(I(1^{\times n})) \geq \gamma(I(1^{\times (6 \cdot 8^k)})) \geq 2^k \gamma(I(1^{\times 6})) \geq 2^k \frac{5}{3}.$$
By easy computations we check that
$$\frac{5}{3} \geq \frac{\sqrt[3]{48}}{3} + \frac{1}{2^k},$$
hence
$$2^k \frac{5}{3} \geq 2^k \frac{\sqrt[3]{46}}{3} + 1 = \frac{\sqrt[3]{6 \cdot 8 \cdot 8^k} + 3}{3} \geq \frac{\sqrt[3]{6n} + 3}{3} = \frac{\widetilde{s} + 1}{3} \geq \frac{s+1}{3},$$
which, by Proposition 12, completes the proof in this case.

$\square$

Theorem 14. *For* $5 \leq n \leq 511$ *and* $I = I(1^{\times n})$ *we have* $I^{(3r)} \subset M^{2r} I^r$.

Proof. Take s satisfying

$$\binom{s}{3} < n \leq \binom{s+1}{3}.$$

We will consider the following cases, in each case using Propositions 7, 10, 11 and 12.

- $s = 15$ or $s = 14$, then $n \geq 365$. We have
$$\gamma(I) \geq \gamma(I(1^{\times (5 \cdot 64)})) \geq 4\gamma(I(1^{\times 5})) \geq \frac{20}{3} \geq \frac{s+1}{3}.$$

- $s = 13$ or $s = 12$, then $n \geq 221$. We have
$$\gamma(I) \geq \gamma(I(1^{\times(3\cdot 64+3\cdot 8)})) \geq 2\gamma(I(2,2,2,1,1,1)) \geq \frac{16}{3} \geq \frac{s+1}{3}.$$
- $s = 11$ or $s = 10$ or $s = 9$, then $n \geq 85$. We have
$$\gamma(I) \geq \gamma(I(1^{\times 64})) \geq 4\gamma(I(1)) \geq 4 \geq \frac{s+1}{3}.$$
- $s = 8$ or $s = 7$, then $n \geq 36$. We have
$$\gamma(I) \geq \gamma(I(1^{\times(4\cdot 8)})) \geq 2\gamma(I(1^{\times 4})) \geq \frac{10}{3} \geq \frac{s+1}{3}.$$
- $s = 6$, then $n \geq 21$. We have
$$\gamma(I) \geq \gamma(I(1^{\times 20})) \geq \gamma(I(2,2,1,1,1)) \geq \frac{7}{3} \geq \frac{s+1}{3}.$$
- $s = 5$, then $n \geq 11$. We have
$$\gamma(I) \geq \gamma(I(1^{\times 8})) \geq 2\gamma(I(1)) \geq 2 \geq \frac{s+1}{3}.$$
- $s = 4$ then $n \geq 5$. We have
$$\gamma(I) \geq \gamma(I(1^{\times 5})) \geq \frac{5}{3} \geq \frac{s+1}{3}.$$

□

3. RESULTS FOR $\mathbb{P}^N$ AND $n \leq N+1$

Proposition 15. *Let $1 \leq n \leq N+1$, let $p_1 = (1:0:\ldots:0)$, $p_2 = (0:1:0:\ldots:0)$, $\ldots$ for $j = 1,\ldots,n$ be fundamental points in $\mathbb{P}^N$, let $I = I(1^{\times n})$, let $J = \cap_{j=1}^{n}\mathfrak{m}_{p_j}$. Let $r \geq 1$. If $J^{(Nr)} \subset M^{(N-1)r}J^r$ then $I^{(Nr)} \subset M^{(N-1)r}I^r$.*

Proof. Every sequence of $n \leq N+1$ general points in $\mathbb{P}^N$ can be transformed by a linear automorphism into a sequence of n fundamental points. Since composing with linear forms does not change the degree, the claim follows. □

We will now consider only ideals for a sequence of fundamental points. We can explicitly write down which elements belongs to such an ideal. For a sequence $(a_0,\ldots,a_N)$ of $N+1$ nonnegative integers let
$$x^{(a_0,\ldots,a_N)} = x_0^{a_0} \cdot \ldots \cdot x_N^{a_N} \in \mathbb{K}[\mathbb{P}^3].$$

Proposition 16. *Let I be an ideal of $n \leq N+1$ fundamental points, let $m \geq 1$. Then $(I^{(m)})_t$ is generated by the following set of monomials:*
$$\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(n,m)_t = \left\{x^{(a_0,\ldots,a_N)} : \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_j = t,\ a_k \leq t-m \textit{ for } k = 0,\ldots,n-1\right\}.$$

Proof. Observe that for $(a_0,\ldots,a_N)$ satisfying $\sum_{j=0}^{N} a_j = t$ the following are equivalent for each k:

$$a_k \leq t-m, \tag{1}$$
$$\sum_{j=0;j\neq k}^{N} a_j \geq m. \tag{2}$$

Observe that
$$\mathfrak{m}_{(1:0:\ldots:0)} = (x_1,\ldots,x_N),$$
hence
$$\mathfrak{m}^m_{(1:0:\ldots:0)} = \left(\left\{x^{(a_0,\ldots,a_N)} : \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_j \geq m\right\}\right),$$
which is exactly (2) for $k = 0$. Similar inequalities holds for other fundamental points. The proof is completed by Lemma 17. □

Lemma 17. *Let I, J be monomial ideals. Then*
$$I \cap J = (\{p \in I \cap J : p \textit{ is a monomial}\}).$$

Proof. Let $f \in I \cap J$. We have
$$f = \sum c_j p_j = \sum d_k r_k,$$
where p_j's are monomials from I and r_k's are monomials from J. It follows that, after reordering r_k if necessary, $p_j = r_j$ for all j's and the claim follows. $\square$

Proposition 18. *Let I be the ideal of n, $1 \le n \le N+1$, fundamental points in $\mathbb{P}^N$, $N \ge 2$. Then $I^{(Nr)} \subset M^{(N-1)r} I^r$ for all $r \ge 1$.*

Proof. For $n = 1$ this is obvious since then $I^{(Nr)} = I^{Nr}$, so let us assume $n \ge 2$.

Choose $t \ge 0$, by Proposition 16 it is sufficient to show that every element from $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(n, Nr)_t$ belongs to $M^{(N-1)r} I^r$. Let $x^{(a_0,\dots,a_N)} \in \mathcal{M}$, of course $t \ge Nr$. Our aim is to show that
$$x^{(a_0,\dots,a_N)} = y \cdot z,$$
where y is a product of r monomials, each of them belonging to I, and z is a monomial of degree at least $(N-1)r$. Observe that, by Proposition 16, $x_j \in I$ for $j \ge n$, while for other indeterminates we have
$$x_j x_k \in I \text{ for } j < n, k < n.$$
Hence y should be equal to the product of r factors, each of them being either a single indeterminate x_j for $j \ge n$, or a product of two indeterminates $x_j x_k$ for $j, k < n$. Let
$$s = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j, \qquad p = \sum_{j=n}^{N} a_j.$$
If $p \ge r$ then y can be taken to be a product of exactly r indeterminates, so $\deg y = r$. Taking $z = x^{(a_0,\dots,a_N)}/y$ we obtain
$$\deg z = t - r \ge Nr - r = (N-1)r,$$
hence $z \in M^{(N-1)r}$. Now consider the case where $p < r$. Take p single indeterminates of the form x_j for $j \ge n$ and $2(r-p)$ indetermines of the form x_j for $j < n$ in such a way that their product y divides $x^{(a_0,\dots,a_N)}$. It is possible since
$$2(r-p) = 2r - 2p \le Nr - p \le t - p = s.$$
Thus $y \in I^r$, let $z = x^{(a_0,\dots,a_N)}/y$, we have
$$\deg(z) = s - 2(r-p).$$
If $t \ge (N+1)r$ then
$$s - 2(r-p) = s + p - 2r + p \ge t - 2r \ge (N+1)r - 2r = (N-1)r$$
and consequently $z \in M^{(N-1)r}$. So now assume that
$$t < (N+1)r.$$
From $n - 1 \le N$ it follows that
$$nN + n - 2N - 2 \le nN - N - 1$$
and hence
$$t(n-2) \le (n-2)(N+1)r \le (nN - N - 1)r.$$
The above can be reformulated to
$$2t \ge (N+1)r + n(t - rN).$$
We also know that $x^{(a_0,\dots,a_N)} \in \mathcal{M}$, hence
$$s = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j \le n(t - rN)$$
and
$$(N+1)r + n(t - rN) \ge (N+1)r + s.$$
The inequality $2t \ge (N+1)r + s$ can be reformulated to
$$2(p+s) - 2r - s \ge (N-1)r$$
which is equivalent to
$$s - 2(r-p) \ge (N-1)r,$$
which completes the proof. $\square$

REFERENCES

[Boc–Har 10a] C. Bocci, B. Harbourne, *Comparing Powers and Symbolic Powers of Ideals*, J. Algebraic Geometry 19, 399–417 (2010), arXiv:0706.3707v2 [math.AG].

[Boc–Har 10b] C. Bocci, B. Harbourne, *The resurgence of ideals of points and the containment problem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 138 (4), 1175–1190 (2010), arXiv:0906.4478v1 [math.AG].

[Chud 81] G.V. Chudnovsky, *Singular points on complex hypersurfaces and multidimensional Schwarz Lemma*, S eminaire de Th eorie des Nombres, Paris 1979–80, Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou, Progress in Math. vol. 12, M-J Bertin (editor), Birkh auser, Boston-Basel-Stuttgart (1981).

[Dum 09] M. Dumnicki, *An algorithm to bound the regularity and nonemptiness of linear systems in* $\mathbb{P}^n$, J. Symb. Comp. 44, 1448–1462 (2009), arXiv:0802.0925v1 [math.AG].

[Har–Hun 11] B. Harbourne, C. Huneke, *Are symbolic powers highly evolved?*, arXiv:1103.5809v1 [math.AG] (2011).